

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,342	04/29/2005	Wolfgang Tzschoppe	3081.94US01	7177
24113	24113 7590 04/18/2006		EXAMINER	
	N, THUENTE, SKAAR	PALMER, PHAN T H		
4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100			2874	

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Λ	1
11	1
ţ٠	╱.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/533,342	TZSCHOPPE, WOLFGANG		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		PHAN T.H. PALMER	2874		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ag	oril 2005.			
· —		action is non-final.			
3)	, —				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
41⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Withom consideration.			
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement			
·		noonon roquii omonii			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
			Ohanfelmer Phan Palmer Primary Examiner		
Attachmen	t(s)		04/15/2006		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/533,342

Art Unit: 2874

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

**Group I**, claim(s) 1-20, 24-37, 43-46, 48, and 52, drawn to second illumination source between image reproduction device and wavelength filter array.

**Group II**, claim(s) 21-23, and 49, drawn to individually controllable illumination source array.

**Group III**, claim(s) 42 and 50, drawn to second wavelength filter array arranged parallel to the first wavelength filter array and slidable in a plane parallel thereto.

**Group IV**, claim(s) 47 and 51, drawn to electrophoretic wavelength filter array.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III, and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the Group I discloses second illumination source between image

Application/Control Number: 10/533,342 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

reproduction device and wavelength filter array; Group II discloses individually controllable illumination source array; Group III discloses a second wavelength filter array arranged parallel to the first wavelength filter array and slidable in a plane parallel thereto; and Group IV discloses an electrophoretic wavelength filter array.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2874

## **CONTACT INFORMATION**

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHAN T.H. PALMER whose telephone number is (571) 272-2354. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RODNEY B. BOVERNICK can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PTHP 04/15/2006

**Phan Paimer** Primary Examiner